

ON THE SUPERSYMMETRIES OF ANTI DE SITTER VACUA

JOSÉ MIGUEL FIGUEROA-O'FARRILL

ABSTRACT. We present details of a geometric method to associate a Lie superalgebra with a large class of bosonic supergravity vacua of the type $\text{adS} \times X$, corresponding to elementary branes in M-theory and type II string theory.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction and Summary	1
2. Killing spinors and the symmetry superalgebra	4
2.1. The spinorial Lie derivative	4
2.2. From Killing spinors to Killing vectors	6
3. Killing spinors and parallel spinors	7
4. Isometries of a conical geometry	10
5. Anti de Sitter supersymmetries	12
5.1. Sasaki–Einstein manifolds	13
5.2. 3-Sasaki manifolds	14
5.3. The symmetry superalgebras	15
Acknowledgements	16
References	16

1. INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY

The purpose of the present note is to present the details of a method to compute the symmetry superalgebra of certain bosonic supergravity vacua. This method was applied in [1] on vacua of the form $\text{adS} \times X$, with X a compact Einstein manifold admitting Killing spinors, to perform a general geometrical check of the AdS/CFT duality conjecture [12]. A similar method has been applied by Gauntlett, Myers and Townsend [8, 7, 17] in the context of supergravity vacua corresponding to rotating and intersecting branes. These two methods are conceptually identical, but the computational details are substantially different to merit the present note. In particular, our method is applicable to a large class of examples where the explicit form of the Killing spinors are not known. Although most of the results contained in this note were obtained more than half a year ago, we thought at the time that

the method was well-known. Only recently have we become aware of the fact that this might not be the case.

We will be concerned with bosonic vacua of the form $\text{adS}_{p+2} \times X_d$, where $D = p + 2 + d$ is either 10 or 11, corresponding to type II and eleven-dimensional supergravities, respectively. The vacua we will consider preserve some supersymmetry provided that X admits real Killing spinors (see below). In turn this implies that X is a compact Einstein manifold whose cone has special holonomy. These geometries have been classified, and are reviewed in Table 3.

To every such spacetime $\text{adS} \times X$ we will associate a Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{S}$, which is to be understood as the superalgebra of symmetries of such a background. We call it the *symmetry superalgebra* of the bosonic background.

As a vector space, any Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{S}$ breaks up into an even and an odd subspace $\mathfrak{S} = \mathfrak{B} \oplus \mathfrak{F}$. In terms of these subspaces, the conditions for $\mathfrak{S}$ to be a Lie superalgebra become the following:

- (S1) $\mathfrak{B}$ is a Lie subalgebra;
- (S2) $\mathfrak{F}$ is a representation of $\mathfrak{B}$;
- (S3) there is a $\mathfrak{B}$ -equivariant symmetric bilinear map

$$\{-, -\} : \mathfrak{F} \otimes \mathfrak{F} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B} \quad (1)$$

which satisfies the Jacobi identity

$$\{F_1, F_2\} \cdot F_3 + \{F_2, F_3\} \cdot F_1 + \{F_1, F_3\} \cdot F_2 = 0, \quad (2)$$

where we have denoted the action of $\mathfrak{B}$ on $\mathfrak{F}$ by $\cdot$.

The main purpose of this note is to detail the construction of the symmetry superalgebra of each bosonic vacuum of the form $\text{adS} \times X$. The construction will break up into several stages, roughly corresponding to each of the above structures. The construction runs as follows:

- The subspaces $\mathfrak{B}$ and $\mathfrak{F}$ are given by the Killing vectors and Killing spinors of the spacetime $\text{adS} \times X$;
- the Killing vectors acts on the Killing spinors via the spinorial Lie derivative; and
- the bilinear map $\mathfrak{F} \otimes \mathfrak{F} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}$ is the usual “squaring” of spinors.

It might seem that in order to identify the symmetry superalgebra of a given geometry, one needs to know the explicit form of the Killing spinors. This is indeed the case in some applications [8, 7, 17], but not every time. If this were the case it would severely limit the usefulness of this method, since Killing spinors are not known explicitly for all but the simplest geometries, namely the space forms. Fortunately, for the large class of geometries considered in [1], one can identify the symmetry superalgebra using group theory, without the need to construct the Killing spinors explicitly. This is made possible by Bär’s observation [4] (see also [10]) that Killing spinors on X are related to

parallel spinors on the cone over X , which have a well-defined group-theoretical interpretation in terms of the holonomy group of the cone; and by Nahm's classification [14] of anti de Sitter superalgebras, which leaves no room for ambiguity in the examples we will consider.

The results of [1] are summarised in Table 1, which may contain some nonstandard notation. First of all the notation for Lie superalgebras, although not traditional, is consistent with the fact that for us $\mathfrak{su}_2 \cong \mathfrak{sp}_1$ and *not* $\mathfrak{sp}_2$. Since the spinors in (lorentzian) eleven and ten dimensions are real, $\mathfrak{F}$ is always a real representation of $\mathfrak{B}$. This requires the introduction of some notation concerning real representations. Let R be a complex representation of some given Lie algebra. There are two ways of making a real representation out of R . It may be that R has a real structure (i.e., R admits an invariant symmetric complex-bilinear form). In this case, R is the complexification of a real representation $[R]$. In other words, $[R]$ is defined by $R \cong [R] \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$. On the other hand, if R is truly a complex representation, we can consider $R \oplus R^*$. This representation has a real structure, so that $R \oplus R^* \cong [R \oplus R^*] \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$. The real representation $[R \oplus R^*]$ is denoted $\llbracket R \rrbracket$. Notice that if R has complex dimension r , then $[R]$ has real dimension r and $\llbracket R \rrbracket$ has real dimension $2r$. If R and R' admit quaternionic structures (i.e., they admit invariant antisymmetric complex-bilinear forms), then their tensor product $R \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} R'$ inherits a real structure and it makes sense to consider the real representation $[R \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} R']$. This explains the first fermionic representation in the Table.

(p, d)	X_d	$\mathfrak{B}$	$\mathfrak{F}$	$\mathfrak{G}$
(5, 4)	sphere	$\mathfrak{so}_{6,2} \times \mathfrak{sp}_2$	$[(\underline{8}, \underline{4})]$	$\mathfrak{osp}_{6,2 2}$
(3, 5)	sphere	$\mathfrak{so}_{4,2} \times \mathfrak{su}_4$	$\llbracket (\underline{4}, \underline{4}) \rrbracket$	$\mathfrak{su}_{2,2 4}$
(3, 5)	Sasaki–Einstein	$\mathfrak{so}_{4,2} \times \mathfrak{u}_1$	$\llbracket (\underline{4}, \underline{1}) \rrbracket$	$\mathfrak{su}_{2,2 1}$
(2, 7)	sphere	$\mathfrak{so}_{3,2} \times \mathfrak{so}_8$	$(\underline{4}, \underline{8})$	$\mathfrak{osp}_{8 2}$
(2, 7)	3-Sasaki	$\mathfrak{so}_{3,2} \times \mathfrak{so}_3$	$(\underline{4}, \underline{3})$	$\mathfrak{osp}_{3 2}$
(2, 7)	Sasaki–Einstein	$\mathfrak{so}_{3,2} \times \mathfrak{so}_2$	$(\underline{4}, \underline{2})$	$\mathfrak{osp}_{2 2}$
(2, 7)	nearly-parallel G_2	$\mathfrak{so}_{3,2} \times \mathfrak{so}_1$	$\underline{4}$	$\mathfrak{osp}_{1 2}$

TABLE 1. Symmetry superalgebras of $\text{adS}_{p+2} \times X_d$, with X simply-connected.

The Table is complete for simply-connected spaces X . It is possible to obtain other symmetry superalgebras by taking finite quotients of the sphere. The main purpose of the note is to exhibit the computations leading to this Table in some detail.

This note is organised as follows. In Section 2 we define real Killing spinors and introduce the main ingredients in the construction of the

isometry subalgebra: the spinorial Lie derivative and the squaring of the spinors. In Section 3 we will discuss the main computational tool in our approach: the relation between Killing spinors on X and parallel spinors on the cone over X . In Section 4 we discuss the relation between the isometries of X and the isometries of the cone. Finally in Section 5 we will apply this to the cases of interest $\text{adS}_{p+2} \times X_d$ for $(p, d) \in \{(2, 7), (3, 5), (5, 4)\}$.

2. KILLING SPINORS AND THE SYMMETRY SUPERALGEBRA

In this section we introduce the basic ingredients in the construction of the symmetry superalgebra of a bosonic vacuum of the form $\text{adS} \times X$. Such vacua will preserve supersymmetry provided that X admits real Killing spinors. Let us review this notion.

Let X be an n -dimensional riemannian spin manifold. Let Ψ be a spinor on X . We say that Ψ is a *real Killing spinor* if

$$\nabla_W \Psi = \lambda W \cdot \Psi \quad \text{for all vectors } W, \quad (3)$$

where $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ is a constant, and $\cdot$ is the action of the Clifford bundle on the spinor bundle. Relative to a local orthonormal frame, this equation becomes:

$$\nabla_i \Psi = \lambda \gamma_i \cdot \Psi, \quad (4)$$

where γ_i satisfy $\{\gamma_i, \gamma_j\} = -2\delta_{ij}$. It should be remarked that the “real” in the definition refers to the fact that λ is real: the spinor itself is complex. The integrability condition for (3) says that X is an Einstein manifold with scalar curvature $4\lambda^2 n(n-1)$. Such manifolds are necessarily compact. We choose to normalise the metric in such a way that $\lambda = \pm \frac{1}{2}$.

Of the two possible values of λ , only one will give rise to symmetries of the supergravity vacuum; indeed, the sign of λ is correlated to the sign of the flux through X_d of the d -form field in the supergravity theory under consideration.

The isometry algebra $\mathfrak{B}$ of $\text{adS}_{p+2} \times X_d$ is isomorphic to the product $\mathfrak{B}(\text{adS}_{p+2}) \times \mathfrak{B}(X_d)$ of the isometry algebras of the anti de Sitter space adS_{p+2} and of X_d . The fermionic subspace $\mathfrak{F}$ will similarly break up into a direct sum $\mathfrak{F}(\text{adS}_{p+2}) \oplus \mathfrak{F}(X_d)$ of the spaces of Killing spinors on adS_{p+2} and on X_d . The isometries and Killing spinors on anti de Sitter space are well known and will be discussed briefly below. On the other hand, the Killing spinors on X can be mapped to parallel spinors on the cone over X , and can be studied group-theoretically. This will be discussed in detail below.

2.1. The spinorial Lie derivative. The next ingredient in the construction of the symmetry superalgebra is the spinorial Lie derivative, which tells us how the Killing vectors $\mathfrak{B}$ act on the Killing spinors $\mathfrak{F}$. Since the spinor bundle is not a GL_n bundle, a Lie derivative cannot

be readily defined. However we will see that for certain types of vector fields, namely the (conformal) Killing vectors, we will be able to make sense of the Lie derivative of a spinor.

Let V be a vector field and let Ψ be a spinor. The spinorial Lie derivative $\mathcal{L}_V$ must obey the following properties:

(L1) it should be a derivative; that is, for any function f ,

$$\mathcal{L}_V(f\Psi) = V(f)\Psi + f\mathcal{L}_V\Psi ;$$

(L2) it should be independent on the choice of local orthonormal frame;

(L3) it should induce, on bispinors, the usual Lie derivative on differential forms; and

(L4) it should form a representation of (a Lie subalgebra of) the algebra of vector fields:

$$[\mathcal{L}_V, \mathcal{L}_W]\Psi = \mathcal{L}_{[V,W]}\Psi .$$

Let us see what it takes to satisfy these conditions. The first condition (L1) simply says that

$$\mathcal{L}_V\Psi = \nabla_V\Psi + \theta(V) \cdot \Psi ,$$

where ∇ is the spin connection and $\theta(V)$ takes values in the Clifford bundle $\text{Cl}(TX)$, which we identify with the endomorphisms of the spinor bundle. This expression also satisfies the second condition (L2), since both the spin connection ∇ and the sections of the Clifford bundle transform covariantly under a change of local orthonormal frame.

The third condition (L3) is tantamount to imposing that the spinorial Lie derivative be compatible with the action of the Clifford bundle:

$$\mathcal{L}_V W \cdot \Psi = [V, W] \cdot \Psi + W \cdot \mathcal{L}_V\Psi , \quad (5)$$

which in turn implies the following relation

$$\theta(V) \cdot W - W \cdot \theta(V) = -\nabla_W V \quad \text{for all } W ,$$

which is to be understood as a relation in the Clifford bundle. Up to central terms in the Clifford algebra, $\theta(V)$ must take the form

$$\theta(V) = \frac{1}{4}\theta_{ij}\gamma^{ij} ,$$

where the coefficients $\theta_{ij} = -\theta_{ji}$ must satisfy the following condition

$$\theta_{ij} = -\nabla_i V_j .$$

Taking into account that the left-hand side is antisymmetric, the only solution is $\theta_{ij} = -\nabla_{[i} V_{j]}$, where $\nabla_{(i} V_{j)} = 0$. In other words, V has to be a Killing vector.

In summary, we define the *Lie derivative* of a spinor Ψ in the direction of the Killing vector V by

$$\mathcal{L}_V\Psi \equiv \nabla_V\Psi - \frac{1}{4}(\nabla_i V_j)\gamma^{ij} \cdot \Psi . \quad (6)$$

This equation is due to Kosmann [11], where it is shown that the fourth condition (L4) is automatically satisfied.

It should be remarked that if we drop property L3 then the spinorial Lie derivative (6) obeys property L4 provided that V is a *conformal* Killing vector (see, e.g., [16]).

If V is a Killing vector the spinorial Lie derivative preserves the spin connection

$$\mathcal{L}_V \nabla_W \Psi = \nabla_{[V, W]} \Psi + \nabla_W \mathcal{L}_V \Psi . \quad (7)$$

It follows from this fact that the spinorial Lie derivative with respect to a Killing vector preserves the space of Killing spinors (see, e.g., [13]). Indeed, suppose that Ψ is a Killing spinor, and let us take the Lie derivative of equation (3):

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_V [\nabla_W \Psi - \lambda W \cdot \Psi] \\ = \nabla_{[V, W]} \Psi + \nabla_W \mathcal{L}_V \Psi - \lambda [V, W] \cdot \Psi - \lambda W \cdot \mathcal{L}_V \Psi , \end{aligned}$$

where we have used equation (7). The first and third terms in the right-hand side cancel each other out because Ψ is a Killing spinor, as does the left-hand side of the equation. The remaining terms say that $\mathcal{L}_V \Psi$ is a Killing spinor with the same constant λ :

$$\nabla_W \mathcal{L}_V \Psi = \lambda W \cdot \mathcal{L}_V \Psi .$$

Although we have been discussing the case of $\lambda \neq 0$, the above discussion applies equally well to manifolds admitting parallel spinors, which we can think of as Killing spinors with $\lambda = 0$.

2.2. From Killing spinors to Killing vectors. The final ingredient in the construction of the symmetry superalgebra is the bilinear map taking Killing spinors to Killing vectors. Let Ψ and Ξ be two real Killing spinors with the same constant λ . Then we can define a vector V whose components relative to a local orthonormal frame $\{E_i\}$ are given by

$$V^i = \langle \Psi, \gamma^i \cdot \Xi \rangle , \quad (8)$$

where $\langle -, - \rangle$ is the hermitian inner product in the spinor representation. We will show that V is a Killing vector.

To see this we notice that for the Clifford algebra $\mathcal{Cl}_n$ given by (18), we can always choose the inner product $\langle -, - \rangle$ such that the Clifford action is unitary:

$$\langle \gamma^i \cdot \Psi, \Xi \rangle = -\langle \Psi, \gamma^i \cdot \Xi \rangle . \quad (9)$$

We can now simply compute:

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_i V_j &= \langle \nabla_i \Psi, \gamma_j \cdot \Xi \rangle + \langle \Psi, \gamma_j \cdot \nabla_i \Xi \rangle \\ &= \lambda \langle \gamma_i \Psi, \gamma_j \cdot \Xi \rangle + \lambda \langle \Psi, \gamma_j \gamma_i \cdot \Xi \rangle \\ &= \lambda \langle \Psi, [\gamma_j, \gamma_i] \cdot \Xi \rangle , \end{aligned}$$

which is antisymmetric under $i \leftrightarrow j$. Thus Killing's equation is satisfied.

It might seem that equation (9) makes the mapping $\mathfrak{F} \otimes \mathfrak{F} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}$ antisymmetric instead of symmetric. This is only because geometric spinors are commuting. On the other hand, the field-theoretical spinors (hence the objects in $\mathfrak{F}$) are anticommuting: they can be thought of as products of the Killing spinors with anticommuting elements of an underlying infinitely generated Grassmann algebra. In that case, we the map $\mathfrak{F} \otimes \mathfrak{F} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}$ is indeed symmetric as expected. Moreover because of property L3 of the spinorial Lie derivative, it is $\mathfrak{B}$ -equivariant.

The final property that has to be checked is the Jacobi identity for the trilinear map $\mathfrak{F} \otimes \mathfrak{F} \otimes \mathfrak{F} \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}$. If Ψ_a for $a = 1, 2, 3$ are Killing spinors with the same constant λ , then the Jacobi identity becomes

$$\mathcal{L}_{V_{12}} \Psi_3 + \mathcal{L}_{V_{23}} \Psi_1 + \mathcal{L}_{V_{31}} \Psi_2 = 0 , \quad (10)$$

where V_{ab} is the Killing vector made out of Ψ_a and Ψ_b , whose components are given by $V_{ab}^i = \langle \Psi_a, \gamma^i \cdot \Psi_b \rangle$. Applying the definitions, the Jacobi identity (10) becomes

$$\langle \Psi_1, \gamma^i \cdot \Psi_2 \rangle \gamma_i \cdot \Psi_3 + \frac{1}{2} \langle \Psi_1, \gamma^{ij} \cdot \Psi_2 \rangle \gamma_{ij} \cdot \Psi_3 + \text{cyclic} = 0 , \quad (11)$$

which, if need be, can be checked case by case using Fierz rearrangements.

3. KILLING SPINORS AND PARALLEL SPINORS

In this and the following section we collect some necessary geometrical facts that will allow us to turn the determination of the symmetry superalgebra $\mathfrak{S}$ into a group theory problem, at least for the cases we will consider. The observation underpinning this approach is due to Bär [4], who noticed that the Killing spinor equation (3) normalised to $\lambda = \pm \frac{1}{2}$ can be understood as the condition that Ψ be parallel with respect to a modified connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ which coincides formally with the riemannian connection on the metric cone of X . In fact, this can be made precise and Bär proved that there is a one-to-one correspondence between Killing spinors on X and parallel spinors on the cone.

The cone $\tilde{X}$ of X is topologically $\mathbb{R}_+ \times X$ with metric

$$\tilde{g} = dr^2 + r^2 g , \quad (12)$$

where g is the metric on X and $r > 0$ parametrises $\mathbb{R}_+$. The manifold X is isometric to the $r = 1$ slice of $\tilde{X}$, and we shall not distinguish between them. For X Einstein with scalar curvature $n(n-1)$, (where $n = \dim X$), the cone metric is Ricci-flat.

Let $\xi = r \partial_r$ be the *Euler vector* on $\tilde{X}$; it generates an infinitesimal homothety. Any vector field V on X can be lifted to a unique vector field on $\tilde{X}$ orthogonal to ξ and such that it projects to V under the natural projection $\tilde{X} = \mathbb{R}_+ \times X \rightarrow X$. We shall not distinguish between

a vector and its lift. Notice however that if V, W are vector fields on X , we have that

$$\tilde{g}(V, W) = r^2 g(V, W) .$$

Let $\tilde{\nabla}$ denote the riemannian connection on the cone $\tilde{X}$. Then we have the following:

$$\tilde{\nabla}_\xi \xi = \xi , \quad \tilde{\nabla}_\xi V = V \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\nabla}_V W = \nabla_V W - g(V, W)\xi , \quad (13)$$

for all vectors V, W tangent to X . In fact, Gibbons and Rychenkova [9] have proven that the characterising property of a metric cone is the existence of a vector field ξ such that $\tilde{\nabla}_\xi V = V$ for *all* vector fields V .

Let $\{E_i\}$ be a local orthonormal frame for X , and $\{\tilde{E}_I\} = \{\tilde{E}_i \equiv \frac{1}{r}E_i, \tilde{E}_r \equiv \partial_r\}$ the induced local orthonormal frame for $\tilde{X}$. Define $\tilde{\omega}_I{}^J{}_K$ by

$$\tilde{\nabla}_{\tilde{E}_I} \tilde{E}_J = \tilde{\omega}_I{}^J{}_K \tilde{E}_K . \quad (14)$$

A quick calculation shows that

$$\tilde{\omega}_r{}^J{}_K = 0 , \quad \tilde{\omega}_i{}^j{}_r = \frac{1}{r}\delta_i{}^j , \quad \tilde{\omega}_i{}^r{}_j = -\frac{1}{r}g_{ij} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\omega}_i{}^j{}_k = \frac{1}{r}\omega_i{}^j{}_k . \quad (15)$$

Now suppose that Ψ is a parallel spinor on $\tilde{X}$:

$$\tilde{\nabla}_{\tilde{E}_I} \Psi = \tilde{E}_I^\mu \partial_\mu \Psi - \frac{1}{4} \tilde{\omega}_I{}^{JK} \Gamma_{JK} \cdot \Psi = 0 . \quad (16)$$

In terms of the explicit expression (15), we have that

$$\tilde{\nabla}_{\tilde{E}_r} \Psi = \partial_r \Psi = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\nabla}_{\tilde{E}_i} \Psi = \frac{1}{r} (\nabla_{E_i} \Psi - \frac{1}{2} \Gamma_i \Gamma_r \cdot \Psi) = 0 . \quad (17)$$

In order to relate this equation to the Killing equation (4) on X we need to recall how the Clifford bundles on $\tilde{X}$ and on X are related.

Let $\text{Cl}(TX)$ be the Clifford bundle on X , which is a bundle of Clifford algebras isomorphic to Cl_n , the euclidean Clifford algebra in n -dimensions. Cl_n is generated by $\{\gamma_i\}$ subject to

$$\{\gamma_i, \gamma_j\} = -2\delta_{ij} . \quad (18)$$

On the other hand, the Clifford bundle $\text{Cl}(T\tilde{X})$ on the cone is locally modelled on Cl_{n+1} , which is generated by $\{\Gamma_I\}$ with $I = (i, r \equiv n+1)$ with i running from 1 to n , subject to

$$\{\Gamma_I, \Gamma_J\} = -2\delta_{IJ} . \quad (19)$$

The algebra Cl_n is naturally a subalgebra of Cl_{n+1}^0 , the even subalgebra of Cl_{n+1} . The embedding is given by

$$\gamma_i \mapsto \varepsilon \Gamma_i \Gamma_r , \quad (20)$$

where $\varepsilon^2 = 1$. Notice that under this map $\frac{1}{2}\gamma_{ij} \mapsto \frac{1}{2}\Gamma_{ij}$, so that it induces the natural embedding of the Spin groups.

Using this we can rewrite equation (17) as

$$\partial_r \Psi = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla_i \Psi = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon \gamma_i \cdot \Psi . \quad (21)$$

Therefore we deduce that there is a one-to-one correspondence between Killing spinors on X and parallel spinors on the cone $\tilde{X}$: a parallel spinor Ψ on the cone restricts (at $r = 1$) to a Killing spinor on X , and conversely, given a Killing spinor on X we can extend this to a parallel spinor on the cone by demanding that it does not depend on r .

In order to understand what kind of Killing spinors we get (i.e., the sign of ε) we need to look more closely at the embedding (20). Notice that it has the following additional property:

$$\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_n \mapsto \begin{cases} \varepsilon \Gamma_1 \cdots \Gamma_{n+1} , & \text{if } n \text{ is odd; and} \\ \Gamma_1 \cdots \Gamma_n , & \text{if } n \text{ is even.} \end{cases} \quad (22)$$

Let n be odd. In an irreducible representations of Cl_n , the volume element $\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_n$ is a scalar multiple of the identity. According to the above equation, it gets mapped to ε times the volume element of Cl_{n+1} . This means that ε is fixed in terms of the chirality of the spinor Ψ : the nature of the correspondence will depend on which irreducible representation we have chosen to work with in Cl_n —equivalently, the orientation of X . On the other hand, if n is even, ε is not fixed. Therefore for each parallel spinor on $\tilde{X}$, we get one parallel spinor with $\varepsilon = 1$ and one with $\varepsilon = -1$ simply by choosing one of the two inequivalent irreducible representations of Cl_{n+1} .

Since X admits real Killing spinors, it is Einstein with positive scalar curvature. By Myer's theorem (see, e.g., [5]) it is compact, and hence its fundamental group is finite. We will moreover assume that X is simply connected. This allows us to use a result of Gallot's [6] quoted in [4], which says that the cone over a compact simply-connected manifold is either flat, so that the manifold is the round sphere, or irreducible. Finally, the simply-connected irreducible manifolds admitting parallel spinors have been classified by Wang [18]. The result is summarised in Table 2. The last column indicates the number N of parallel spinors, which in even dimensions has been refined according to chirality.

dim	Holonomy	Geometry	N
$4k$	Sp_k	hyperkähler	$(k+1, 0)$
$4k$	SU_{2k}	Calabi–Yau	$(2, 0)$
$4k+2$	SU_{2k+1}	Calabi–Yau	$(1, 1)$
7	G_2	parallel G_2	1
8	Spin_7	parallel Spin_7	$(1, 0)$

TABLE 2. Simply-connected irreducible manifolds admitting parallel spinors

We can then finally write down the possible geometries of simply-connected Einstein manifolds admitting $N_{\pm}$ real Killing spinors with $\lambda = \pm\frac{1}{2}$. This is summarised in Table 3.

dim X	Geometry	Cone	(N_+, N_-)
d	round sphere	flat	$(2^{\lfloor d/2 \rfloor}, 2^{\lfloor d/2 \rfloor})$
$4k - 1$	3-Sasaki	hyperkähler	$(k + 1, 0)$
$4k - 1$	Sasaki–Einstein	Calabi–Yau	$(2, 0)$
$4k + 1$	Sasaki–Einstein	Calabi–Yau	$(1, 1)$
6	nearly Kähler	parallel G_2	$(1, 1)$
7	nearly parallel G_2	parallel Spin_7	$(1, 0)$

TABLE 3. Simply-connected manifolds admitting real Killing spinors

We can summarise this section conceptually as follows. Let $\mathfrak{F}_{\pm}(X)$ denote the space of Killing spinors on X with $\lambda = \pm\frac{1}{2}$ and let $\mathfrak{F}_{\pm}(\tilde{X})$ (or simply $\mathfrak{F}(\tilde{X})$ if $\dim \tilde{X}$ is odd) be the space of parallel spinors (of definite chirality, if applicable) on $\tilde{X}$. Then there are isomorphisms $\mathfrak{F}_{\pm}(X) \cong \mathfrak{F}_{\pm}(\tilde{X})$, for $\dim \tilde{X}$ even, and $\mathfrak{F}_{\pm}(X) \cong \mathfrak{F}(\tilde{X})$ for $\dim \tilde{X}$ odd. We will simply summarise this family of isomorphisms as $\mathfrak{F}(X) \cong \mathfrak{F}(\tilde{X})$.

4. ISOMETRIES OF A CONICAL GEOMETRY

In this section we will characterise the isometries of the cone metric $\tilde{g}$ on $\tilde{X}$ in terms of data on the original space X . We will see that they come in two flavours: either they are lifts of Killing vectors on X , or they are related to conformal Killing vectors on X which are given by gradients of eigenfunctions of the Laplacian on X . These latter Killing vectors only exist when X is a spherical form.

Let $\tilde{V}$ be a Killing vector on $\tilde{X}$, so it satisfies Killing's equation

$$\tilde{g}(\tilde{\nabla}_U \tilde{V}, W) + \tilde{g}(U, \tilde{\nabla}_W \tilde{V}) = 0, \quad (23)$$

for all vector fields U, W in $\tilde{X}$. Let us write $\tilde{V} = f \partial_r + \bar{V}$, where f is a function on $\tilde{X}$ and $\bar{V}$ is a vector field on $\tilde{X}$ orthogonal to ∂_r . It is a simple matter to compute $\tilde{\nabla} \tilde{V}$ using equation (13):

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\nabla}_r \tilde{V} &= \partial_r f \partial_r + \partial_r \bar{V} + \frac{1}{r} \bar{V} \\ \tilde{\nabla}_W \tilde{V} &= W f \partial_r + \frac{1}{r} f W + \nabla_W \bar{V} - r g(W, \bar{V}) \partial_r, \end{aligned}$$

where W is tangent to X . Therefore $\tilde{V}$ satisfies Killing's equation (23) if and only if f and $\bar{V}$ satisfy:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_r f &= 0, \quad Wf = -r^2 g(\bar{V}, W) \quad \text{and} \\ g(\nabla_W \bar{V}, U) + g(W, \nabla_U \bar{V}) &= -\frac{2}{r} f g(U, W), \end{aligned}$$

for every U, W tangent to X . The first equation says that f is the lift of a function on X . The second equation says that

$$\bar{V} = V + \frac{1}{r} \text{grad } f,$$

where $\partial_r V = 0$, so that V is the lift of a vector field on X . The third (and last) equation becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} g(\nabla_W V, U) + g(W, \nabla_U V) \\ + \frac{1}{r} [g(\nabla_W \text{grad } f, U) + g(W, \nabla_U \text{grad } f) + 2f g(U, W)] = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since the first two terms are independent of r and so is the term inside the square brackets, we see that they both have to vanish separately. This means that V is a Killing vector on X and that f is a function such that its gradient is a conformal Killing vector:

$$g(\nabla_W \text{grad } f, U) + g(W, \nabla_U \text{grad } f) = -2f g(U, W).$$

Tracing this equation, we see that f must in addition satisfy

$$\Delta f = -\text{div grad } f = n f, \quad \text{with } n = \dim X. \quad (24)$$

For X an Einstein manifold, this equation is equivalent to the celebrated *Obata equation* [15], which only has solutions if X is locally isometric to a sphere. In other words, if X is simply connected, then $X \cong S^n$ and there are $n + 1$ functions obeying (24): the first non-constant spherical harmonics, which transform according to the vector representation of SO_{n+1} . If X is not simply connected, then $X \cong S^n/\Gamma$, where $\Gamma \subset \text{SO}_{n+1}$ is a finite subgroup. The number of solutions of (24) will then be equal to the dimension of the space of Γ -invariant solutions of the equation on the sphere; in other words, the number of linearly independent singlets in the decomposition of the vector representation of SO_{n+1} under the subgroup Γ .

In summary, the Killing vectors of a cone metric $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{g})$ are of two types:

- lifts of Killing vectors of (X, g) ; and
- vectors of the form $f\partial_r + \frac{1}{r}\text{grad } f$, where f is a function on X obeying $\Delta f = n f$;

with the latter only existing in the case of spherical forms. We can reformulate the former point more precisely as follows.

Let $\mathfrak{B}(X)$ and $\mathfrak{B}(\tilde{X})$ denote the Lie algebras of Killing vectors on X and $\tilde{X}$, respectively. Then lifting the Killing vectors on X to $\tilde{X}$ gives

rise to a Lie algebra homomorphism $\mathfrak{B}(X) \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}(\tilde{X})$. Because lifting at $r = 1$ is an isometry, this homomorphism is actually one-to-one. To prove that the lift is a Lie algebra homomorphism we need to go into a little bit more detail.

Proof:

We require a more precise definition of the lift to $\tilde{X}$ of a vector field on X . As smooth manifolds, ignoring the metric, $\tilde{X} = \mathbb{R}_+ \times X$. Let $\text{pr}_1 : \tilde{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ and $\text{pr}_2 : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be the canonical projections. Let V be a vector field on X . Its lift to $\tilde{X}$ is the unique vector field $\tilde{V}$ obeying $(\text{pr}_1)_*\tilde{V} = 0$ and $(\text{pr}_2)_*\tilde{V} = V$. Now let W be another vector field on X and $\tilde{W}$ its lift to $\tilde{X}$. Then by the functoriality of the derivative map

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{pr}_1)_*[\tilde{V}, \tilde{W}] &= [(\text{pr}_1)_*\tilde{V}, (\text{pr}_1)_*\tilde{W}] = 0, \text{ and} \\ (\text{pr}_2)_*[\tilde{V}, \tilde{W}] &= [(\text{pr}_2)_*\tilde{V}, (\text{pr}_2)_*\tilde{W}] = [V, W], \end{aligned}$$

whence $[\tilde{V}, \tilde{W}]$ is the lift of $[V, W]$.

5. ANTI DE SITTER SUPERSYMMETRIES

We have now all the ingredients necessary to determine the symmetry superalgebra of a bosonic background of the form $\text{adS}_{p+2} \times X_d$ for $(p, d) \in \{(2, 7), (3, 5), (5, 4)\}$. We will follow the following strategy:

1. we determine the isometry algebra $\mathfrak{B}$ of the geometry $\text{adS} \times X$;
2. we determine the representation $\mathfrak{F}$ of $\mathfrak{B}$ under which the Killing spinors transform; and
3. we inspect Nahm's classification for candidate Lie superalgebras.

The second step makes use of the results about conical geometry developed in the previous two sections, and turns the problem into a group-theoretical one.

We saw in the previous two sections that there is a Lie algebra homomorphism $\mathfrak{B}(X) \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}(\tilde{X})$ and a vector space isomorphism $\mathfrak{F}(X) \cong \mathfrak{F}(\tilde{X})$. Moreover, as we now show, these maps make the following diagram commute, where the horizontal arrows are given by the spinorial Lie derivative $(V, \Psi) \mapsto \mathcal{L}_V \Psi$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{B}(\tilde{X}) \times \mathfrak{F}(\tilde{X}) & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{F}(\tilde{X}) \\ \uparrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathfrak{B}(X) \times \mathfrak{F}(X) & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{F}(X) \end{array}$$

We will actually prove something a little more general. Let Ψ be any spinor on $\tilde{X}$ which restricts to a spinor on X of the same name. Let V be a Killing vector on X and let $\tilde{V}$ be the lift to a Killing vector on $\tilde{X}$. We claim that the spinorial Lie derivative $\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{V}} \Psi$ is a spinor on $\tilde{X}$ which restricts to $\mathcal{L}_V \Psi$ on X .

Proof:

Let $\{E_i\}$ be an orthonormal frame for X and let $\{\tilde{E}_I\} = \{\tilde{E}_i = \frac{1}{r}E_i, \tilde{E}_r = \partial_r\}$ be an orthonormal frame for $\tilde{X}$. If $V = V^i E_i$ then

the lift $\tilde{V} = \tilde{V}^I \tilde{E}_I$ has components: $\tilde{V}^r = 0$ and $\tilde{V}^i = rV^i$. Let us compute the spinorial Lie derivative $\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{V}}\Psi$:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{V}}\Psi &= \tilde{\nabla}_{\tilde{V}}\Psi - \frac{1}{4}\tilde{\nabla}_I\tilde{V}_J \cdot \Gamma^{IJ}\Psi \\ &= V^i(\nabla_i\Psi - \frac{1}{2}\Gamma_i\Gamma_r \cdot \Psi) - (\frac{1}{4}\nabla_i V_j \Gamma^{ij} \cdot \Psi + \frac{1}{2}V_j \Gamma^r \Gamma^j \cdot \Psi) \\ &= \nabla_V\Psi - \frac{1}{4}\nabla_i V_j \Gamma^{ij} \cdot \Psi \\ &= \mathcal{L}_V\Psi .\end{aligned}$$

Suppose then that we want to compute the spinorial Lie derivative $\mathcal{L}_V\Psi$ of a Killing spinor Ψ on X in the direction of a Killing vector V . We proceed as follows:

1. we lift V and Ψ to a Killing vector and a parallel spinor, respectively, on the cone $\tilde{X}$;
2. compute the spinorial Lie derivative on $\tilde{X}$; and
3. we restrict the resulting parallel spinor to a Killing spinor on X .

This seemingly circuitous way of computing the spinorial Lie derivative has the advantage that for the spaces in question the spinorial Lie derivative on the cone can be computed using elementary group theory. Indeed, let V be a Killing vector on X lifted to $\tilde{X}$ and let Ψ be a parallel spinor $\tilde{\nabla}\Psi = 0$, then the spinorial Lie derivative $\mathcal{L}_V$ simplifies to an infinitesimal orthogonal transformation

$$\mathcal{L}_V\Psi = \tilde{\nabla}_V\Psi + \theta(V) \cdot \Psi = \theta(V) \cdot \Psi . \quad (25)$$

In other words, the isometry algebra acts on the spinors as a subalgebra of the orthogonal algebra in such a way that it preserves the singlets under the holonomy subalgebra, i.e., the parallel spinors.

Let us now discuss this briefly case by case. Of the geometries listed in Table 3, the only ones which generically have isometries are the ones possessing Sasakian structures: Sasaki–Einstein and 3-Sasaki, so we will discuss them in turn. We will not need the details of what a Sasaki structure is. The interested reader can consult [2, 3].

5.1. Sasaki–Einstein manifolds. Bär [4] exhibited a one-to-one correspondence between Sasaki–Einstein structures on a manifold X and a Calabi–Yau metrics on the cone $\tilde{X}$. For our purposes the main feature of a Sasaki–Einstein space is the existence of a Killing vector, S , constructed as follows. Let ξ be the Euler vector on $\tilde{X}$. Since $\tilde{X}$ is Kähler, there is a parallel complex structure J . Let $S \equiv J\xi$. It is clear that S is orthogonal to ξ and that it has unit norm. We claim that it is a Killing vector. Indeed,

$$\tilde{g}(\tilde{\nabla}_V S, W) = \tilde{g}(J\tilde{\nabla}_V \xi, W) = \tilde{g}(JV, W) = \omega(V, W) ,$$

where ω is the Kähler form. Since this is antisymmetric in V, W , it follows that S is a Killing vector. Let Ψ be a parallel spinor in $\tilde{X}$. The

Lie derivative $\mathcal{L}_S \Psi$ can be computed using equation (25). One gets

$$\mathcal{L}_S \Psi = -\frac{1}{4} \tilde{\nabla}_I S_J \Gamma^{IJ} \cdot \Psi = -\frac{1}{4} \omega_{IJ} \Gamma^{IJ} \cdot \Psi . \quad (26)$$

We now use the fact that $\frac{1}{4} \omega_{IJ} \Gamma^{IJ}$ spans a very particular $\mathfrak{u}_1$ subalgebra of the maximal $\mathfrak{u}_n$ subalgebra of $\mathfrak{so}_{2n}$. Indeed, $\mathfrak{u}_n \cong \mathfrak{u}_1 \times \mathfrak{su}_n$, where $\mathfrak{u}_1$ is the Lie subalgebra generated by the complex structure and $\mathfrak{su}_n \subset \mathfrak{so}_{2n}$ is the holonomy algebra of the Calabi–Yau. (We take $\dim X = 2n - 1$.) To see how this $\mathfrak{u}_1$ subalgebra acts on the parallel spinors, we simply decompose the relevant spinorial representation of $\mathfrak{so}_{2n}$ under $\mathfrak{u}_n$ and see how the $\mathfrak{su}_n$ singlets transform under the $\mathfrak{u}_1$.

Although it is possible to give a general answer, we will only consider the two cases of physical interest [1]: $\dim X = 5, 7$. Our results are to be compared with those of Moroianu [13] who uses somewhat different methods to obtain similar results.

Consider the case of $\dim X = 5$. Because $\mathfrak{so}_6 \cong \mathfrak{su}_4$, the spin representation is complex and four dimensional. In fact it is the $\underline{4}$ of $\mathfrak{su}_4$. The spinors, being real, transform under the real representation $[\underline{4}]$ of $\underline{4} \oplus \underline{4}^*$. Under $\mathfrak{so}_6 \supset \mathfrak{u}_3 \cong \mathfrak{u}_1 \times \mathfrak{su}_3$, the real spinor representation $[\underline{4}]$ breaks up as $[\underline{4}] \rightarrow [\underline{3}_{+1}] \oplus [\underline{1}_{-3}]$. Therefore the $\mathfrak{su}_3$ singlets transform under $\mathfrak{u}_1$ as a real two-dimensional representation $[(+3) \oplus (-3)]$. In other word, under $\mathfrak{u}_1 \cong \mathfrak{so}_2$, the parallel spinors transform as a $\underline{2}$.

Now consider the case $\dim X = 7$, so that the cone is a Calabi–Yau fourfold. Under $\mathfrak{so}_8 \supset \mathfrak{u}_1 \times \mathfrak{su}_4$, the spinor representation $\underline{8}_s$ breaks up as $\underline{8}_s \rightarrow (\underline{2}, \underline{1}) \oplus (\underline{1}, \underline{6})$, so that the parallel spinors again transform as the $\underline{2}$ of $\mathfrak{u}_1 \cong \mathfrak{so}_2$.

5.2. 3-Sasaki manifolds. In [4] Bär also exhibited a one-to-one correspondence between 3-Sasaki structures on X and hyperkähler metrics on the cone $\tilde{X}$. On a hyperkähler $\tilde{X}$ we have a triplet of parallel complex structures I^α for $\alpha = 1, 2, 3$ obeying the algebra of imaginary quaternions:

$$I^\alpha I^\beta = -\delta_{\alpha\beta} \mathbb{1} + \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma} I^\gamma . \quad (27)$$

Let us define the vector fields $S^\alpha \equiv -\frac{1}{2} I^\alpha \xi$, with ξ the Euler vector. As in the Sasaki–Einstein case above, each of the S^α is a unit-norm Killing vector on $\tilde{X}$. Moreover, because of equation (27), they obey an $\mathfrak{so}_3$ Lie algebra:

$$\begin{aligned} [S^\alpha, S^\beta] &= \tilde{\nabla}_{S^\alpha} S^\beta - \tilde{\nabla}_{S^\beta} S^\alpha \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} I^\beta \tilde{\nabla}_{S^\alpha} \xi + \frac{1}{2} I^\alpha \tilde{\nabla}_{S^\beta} \xi \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} I^\beta S^\alpha + \frac{1}{2} I^\alpha S^\beta \\ &= \frac{1}{4} I^\beta I^\alpha \xi - \frac{1}{4} I^\alpha I^\beta \xi \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma} I^\gamma \xi \\ &= \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma} S^\gamma . \end{aligned}$$

Now let Ψ be a parallel spinor in $\tilde{X}$. Using equation (25) we can compute the Lie derivative $\mathcal{L}_{S^\alpha}\Psi$:

$$\mathcal{L}_{S^\alpha}\Psi = -\frac{1}{4}\tilde{\nabla}_I S_J^\alpha \Gamma^{IJ} \cdot \Psi = \frac{1}{8}\omega_{IJ}^\alpha \Gamma^{IJ} \cdot \Psi, \quad (28)$$

where ω^α is the Kähler form associated with the complex structure I^α . Now we use the fact that the three elements $\frac{1}{8}\omega_{IJ}^\alpha \Gamma^{IJ}$ span an $\mathfrak{sp}_1$ subalgebra of $\mathfrak{so}_{4n}$ (where $\dim X = 4n - 1$), which is the centraliser of the holonomy subalgebra $\mathfrak{sp}_n \subset \mathfrak{so}_{4n}$. Indeed, $\mathfrak{sp}_1 \times \mathfrak{sp}_n \subset \mathfrak{so}_{4n}$ is a maximal subalgebra. Just as in the Sasaki–Einstein case, to see how this $\mathfrak{sp}_1$ subalgebra acts on the parallel spinors, it is enough to decompose the relevant spinorial representation of $\mathfrak{so}_{4n}$ under $\mathfrak{sp}_1 \times \mathfrak{sp}_n$ and see how the $\mathfrak{sp}_n$ singlets transform under the $\mathfrak{sp}_1$.

Again, although it is possible to give a more general answer, we limit ourselves to the case of interest: $n = 2$, so that $\dim X = 7$. In this case, the spinor representation $\underline{\mathbf{8}}_s$ of $\mathfrak{so}_8$ breaks up under $\mathfrak{sp}_1 \times \mathfrak{sp}_2 \subset \mathfrak{so}_8$ as $\underline{\mathbf{8}}_s \rightarrow (\underline{\mathbf{3}}, \underline{\mathbf{1}}) \oplus (\underline{\mathbf{1}}, \underline{\mathbf{5}})$. Thus we see that the three parallel spinors transform as the $\underline{\mathbf{3}}$ of $\mathfrak{sp}_1 \cong \mathfrak{so}_3$.

5.3. The symmetry superalgebras. Finally we can put it all together and identify the symmetry superalgebras. We are interested in geometries of the form $\text{adS}_4 \times X_7$, $\text{adS}_5 \times X_5$ and $\text{adS}_7 \times X_4$. We have several choices for X , given by Table 3, whose *generic* isometry algebras $\mathfrak{B}(X)$ are given by the second factor in the $\mathfrak{B}$ column of Table 1.¹ The first factor in that column corresponds to the isometry algebra $\mathfrak{so}_{p+1,2}$ of adS_{p+2} . For each of the geometries in Table 1 we have determined the representation $\mathfrak{F}$ of the isometry algebra that the Killing spinors are in. The X part follows from the above considerations, whether the adS part is well-known and will not be rederived here. Finally, equipped with the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{B}$ and the representation $\mathfrak{F}$ we look up in Nahm’s classification [14] of superconformal algebras and we see that in each case there is a unique superconformal algebra with that data, which is the superconformal algebra $\mathfrak{G}$ listed in the Table.

As a final comment, let us remark that whereas the method presented in this note is quite general, group theory would not be enough to determine the precise symmetry superalgebra treated in [8, 17]. That superalgebra has a free parameter α taking values in the unit interval, which cannot be determined simply from a knowledge of $\mathfrak{B}$ and $\mathfrak{F}$. In this case one must compute the Killing spinors explicitly as was done in [8, 17], and determine the value of α by looking at the symmetric bilinear $\mathfrak{F} \otimes \mathfrak{F} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}$.

¹We say generic because some of these manifolds will have larger isometry algebras. However it is possible to prove (see, e.g., [13] for some results in this direction) that the larger isometry algebra is a product of the generic isometry algebra and a compact Lie algebra which acts trivially on the Killing spinors. This is nothing but the geometric manifestation of the Coleman–Mandula–Haag–Łopuszański–Sohnius theorem.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

It is a pleasure to thank Andrei Moroianu, Bill Spence, Sonia Stanciu and Stefan Vandoren for useful discussions, and Paul Townsend for the encouragement to write this thing up. This work was partially funded by the EPSRC, whom I would like to thank for their support.

REFERENCES

- [1] BS Acharya, JM Figueroa-O'Farrill, CM Hull, and B Spence, *Branes at conical singularities and their dual field theories*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. in press, [hep-th/9808014](#).
- [2] CP Boyer and K Galicki, *3-Sasakian manifolds*, [hep-th/9810250](#).
- [3] ———, *On Sasakian–Einstein geometry*, [math.DG/9811098](#).
- [4] C Bär, *Real Killing spinors and holonomy*, Comm. Math. Phys. **154** (1993), 509–521.
- [5] J Cheeger and D Ebin, *Comparison theorems in Riemannian Geometry*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1975.
- [6] S Gallot, *Equations différentielles caractéristiques de la sphère*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. **12** (1979), 235–267.
- [7] JP Gauntlett, RC Myers, and PK Townsend, *Black holes of $D = 5$ supergravity*, Class. & Quantum Grav. **16** (1999), 1–21, [hep-th/9810204](#).
- [8] ———, *Supersymmetry of rotating branes*, Phys. Rev. **D59** (1999), 025001, [hep-th/9809065](#).
- [9] GW Gibbons and P Rychenkova, *Cones, tri-sasakian structures and superconformal invariance*, Phys. Lett. **B443** (1998), 138–142, [hep-th/9809158](#).
- [10] IR Klebanov and E Witten, *Superconformal field theory on threebranes at a Calabi–Yau singularity*, Nucl. Phys. **B536** (1998), 199–218, [hep-th/9807080](#).
- [11] Y Kosmann, *Dérivées de Lie des spineurs*, Annali di Mat. Pura Appl. (IV) **91** (1972), 317–395.
- [12] JM Maldacena, *The large N limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2** (1998), 231–252, [hep-th/9711200](#).
- [13] A Moroianu, *On the infinitesimal isometries of manifolds with Killing spinors*, Humboldt Universität preprint.
- [14] W Nahm, *Supersymmetries and their representations*, Nucl. Phys. **B135** (1978), 149–166.
- [15] M Obata, *Certain conditions for a riemannian manifold to be isometric with a sphere*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **14** (1962), 333–340.
- [16] P Spindel, *Gravity before supergravity*, Supersymmetry (K Dietz, R Flume, G von Gehlen, and V Rittenberg, eds.), NATO Series B, vol. 125, Plenum (New York), 1984, pp. 455–533.
- [17] PK Townsend, *Killing spinors, supersymmetries and rotating intersecting branes*, [hep-th/9901102](#).
- [18] MY Wang, *Parallel spinors and parallel forms*, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **7** (1989), no. 1, 59–68.

DEPARTMENT OF PHYSICS
QUEEN MARY AND WESTFIELD COLLEGE
MILE END ROAD
LONDON E1 4NS, UK

E-mail address: j.m.figueroa@qmw.ac.uk